ϕ ase 5:12-cv-02122-UA-DUTY Document 5 Filed 01/15/13 Page 1 of 2 Page ID #:69 FILED 1 2013 JAN 15 PM 4:53 2 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRALADIST. OF CALIF. 3 4 BY: 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 COLFIN AI CA 4, LLC, Case No. EDCV 12-2122-UA (DUTYx) 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER RE: SUMMARY REMAND 13 DUE TO IMPROPER REMOVAL v. 14 MARIAN SEATON, 15 Defendant. 16 17 On December 3, 2012, Marain Seaton lodged a Notice of Removal and an 18 application to proceed in forma pauperis. Plaintiff brought suit in a straight-forward 19 unlawful detainer action in the San Bernardino County Superior Court. The Court has 20 denied the aforementioned application under separate cover because this action was not 21 properly removed. As a result, the Court issues this Order to remand the action to state 22 court. 23 Plaintiff could not have brought this action in federal court based on federal 24 question jurisdiction because the underlying matter is a straight-forward unlawful 25 detainer action. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441(a). Further, assuming a claim of diversity 26 jurisdiction was to be asserted as a basis for removal, the Court notes that the amount in 27 28

case 5:12-cv-02122-UA-DUTY Document 5 Filed 01/15/13 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:70